

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-6 and 15-22 are pending in this application. Claims 1-6 and 15-22 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

Addressing the above-noted rejection, that rejection is obviated by the present response.

Claim 1 was rejected as at line 5 the phrase “worm to be driven by a driving source” was unclear, and particularly as it inferentially included a “driving source”. The Office Action suggests that if the driving source was meant to be claimed, claim 1 should be amended to recite “a worm driving source, and a worm to be driven by the worm driving source.” In response to that suggestion in the Office Action independent claim 1 is now so amended. The amendments to claim 1 are believed to now positively recite all elements and address the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

As no other issues are pending in this application, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is now in condition for allowance, and it is hereby respectfully requested that this case be passed to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)

GJM:SNS\pmt



Gregory J. Maier
Attorney of Record
Registration No. 25,599
Surinder Sachar
Registration No. 34,423